

State of New York
Commission on Judicial Conduct

In the Matter of the Proceeding Pursuant to Section 44,
subdivision 4, of the Judiciary Law in Relation to

ISAAC KANTROWITZ,

a Justice of the Woodridge Village
Court, Sullivan County.

Determination

BEFORE: Mrs. Gene Robb, Chairwoman
Honorable Fritz W. Alexander, II
David Bromberg
Honorable Richard J. Cardamone
Dolores DelBello
Michael M. Kirsch
William V. Maggipinto
Honorable Isaac Rubin
Honorable Felice K. Shea
Carroll L. Wainwright, Jr.

Respondent, Isaac Kantrowitz, a justice of the Village Court of Woodridge, Sullivan County, was served with a Formal Written Complaint dated April 16, 1979, setting forth three charges of misconduct relating to the improper assertion of influence in traffic cases. Respondent filed an answer dated April 25, 1979.

By notice of motion dated July 16, 1979, the administrator of the Commission moved for summary determination, pursuant to Section 7000.6(c) of the Commission's rules (22 NYCRR 7000.6[c]). Respondent submitted papers in response to the administrator's motion. The Commission granted the motion on August 16, 1979, deemed respondent's misconduct established with respect to all three

charges in the Formal Written Complaint, and set a date for oral argument on the issue of an appropriate sanction. The administrator submitted a memorandum in lieu of oral argument. Respondent waived oral argument and submitted a letter on sanction.

The Commission considered the record in this proceeding on September 27, 1979, and upon that record finds the following facts.

1. As to Charge I, on July 15, 1974, respondent sent a letter to Justice Michael Altman of the Town Court of Fallsburg, seeking special consideration on behalf of the defendant in People v. Frederick Hazelnis, a case then pending before Judge Altman.

2. As to Charge II, on December 8, 1975, respondent sent a letter to Mrs. Rita Balbirer, Clerk of the Fallsburg Town Court, seeking special consideration on behalf of the defendant in People v. Frederick Hazelnis, a case then pending in the Fallsburg Town Court.

3. As to Charge III, on March 11, 1974, respondent sent a letter to Justice Michael Altman of the Town Court of Fallsburg, seeking special consideration on behalf of the defendant in People v. Dolores Gadshian, a case then pending before Judge Altman.

Upon the foregoing findings of fact, the Commission concludes as a matter of law that respondent violated Sections 33.1, 33.2, 33.3(a)(1) and 33.3(a)(4) of the Rules Governing

Judicial Conduct and Canons 1, 2 and 3A of the Code of Judicial Conduct. Charges I through III of the Formal Written Complaint are sustained, and respondent's misconduct is thereby established.


It is improper for a judge to seek to persuade another judge, on the basis of personal or other special influence, to alter or dismiss a traffic ticket. A judge who accedes to such a request is guilty of favoritism, as is the judge who made the request. By making ex parte requests of other judges or a court clerk for favorable dispositions for the defendants in traffic cases, respondent violated the rules enumerated above.

Courts in this state and other jurisdictions have found that favoritism is serious judicial misconduct and that ticket-fixing is a form of favoritism.

By reason of the foregoing, the Commission unanimously determines that the appropriate sanction is admonition.

CERTIFICATION

It is certified that the foregoing is the determination of the State Commission on Judicial Conduct, containing the findings of fact and conclusions of law required by Section 44, subdivision 7, of the Judiciary Law.


Lillemor T. Robb, Chairwoman
New York State Commission on
Judicial Conduct

Dated: December 12, 1979
Albany, New York

APPEARANCES:

Gerald Orseck for Respondent

Gerald Stern for the Commission (Judith Siegel-Baum, Of Counsel)